



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,775	06/05/2001	Ashvinkumar J. Sanghvi	MSI-591US	6246

22801 7590 01/29/2007
LEE & HAYES PLLC
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500
SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER

SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2154

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/29/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhptoms@leehayes.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/875,775

Applicant(s)

SANGHVI ET AL.

Examiner

Mohammad A. Siddiqi

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 November 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12,39,42-44 and 46-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12,39,42-44 and 46-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12, 39, 42-44, and 46-48 are presented for examination.
Claims 13-38, 40-41, and 45 have been cancelled. Claims 46-48 are new.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-12, 39, 42-44, and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-12, 39, 42-44, and 46-48 are not statutory because claims are directed to software, per se, lacking storage on a medium, which enables any underlying functionality to occur.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 39, 43, 44, and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Groath et al. (6,571,285) (hereinafter Groath).

6. As per claim 1, Groath discloses an event management system (col 12, lines 33-40) comprising:

A set of event consumers (col 10, lines 2-6), each event consumer being configured to perform an action (notification, col 10, lines 34-39) in response to an occurrence of an event (300, 302, fig 3, col 10, lines 15-19), the set of event consumers including (300, 302, fig 3, col 10, lines 34-39):
an email consumer configured to handle email messages (300, 302, fig 3, and 3, col 10, lines 53-63);
a paging consumer configured to generate a page message messages (300, 302, fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63);
an active scripting consumer configured to execute at least one script messages (300, 302, fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

Art Unit: 2154

a log file consumer configured to record information in a log file messages (300, 302, fig 3, col 11, lines 35-40);

an event log consumer configured (col 10, lines 35-39) to log messages to

an event log messages (300, 302, fig 3, col 10, lines 41-63); and

a command line (notification action is done via scripts and scripts includes command line arguments, col 10, lines 47-52) consumer configured to

launch at least one process (i.e. col 49, lines 20-25, col 53, lines 53-57);

wherein individual event consumers are configured to perform the action without requiring knowledge about source of the event (collector is user to collect event data and routes to event consumers via notifier, col 10, lines 25-46).

7. As per claim 2, Groath discloses the email consumer is an SMTP consumer (col 140, lines 40-45).

8. As per claim 4, Groath discloses a forwarding consumer to forward events (col 10, lines 34-43).

9. As per claim 5, Groath discloses the email consumer sends an email message in response to receiving an event (col 10, lines 53-63).

Art Unit: 2154

10. As per claim 6, Groath discloses the paging consumer will page a telephone number with a message in response to receiving an event (col 10, lines 47-63).

11. As per claim 7, Groath discloses the active scripting consumer executes a predefined script when an event is received by the active scripting consumer (col 10, lines 34-63).

12. As per claim 8, Groath discloses the log file consumer records information to a log file when an event is received by the log file consumer (col 10, lines 26-33).

13. As per claim 9, Groath discloses the event log consumer logs a message to an event log when an event is received by the event log consumer (col 10, lines 26-33).

14. As per claim 10, Groath discloses the command line consumer launches a process in response to receiving an event (col 49, lines 19-25).

15. As per claim 11, Groath discloses events in the event management system are represented as objects (col 119, lines 23-45).

16. As per claim 12, Groath discloses each consumer in the event management system is represented as a class (col 78, lines 5-10).

17. As per claim 39, Groath discloses computer system comprising:
at least one event provider configured to generate events (col 2, lines 6-24);

an event consumer selected from a set of event consumers (300, 302, fig 3, lines 6-24) the event consumer being configured to perform an action in response to an occurrence of an event generated by the event provider (300, 302, fig 3, col 2, lines 6-24), the set of event consumers including:

an email consumer configured to send at least one email message (300, 302, fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

a paging consumer configured to send at least one page message (300, 302, fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

an active scripting (300, 302, fig 3, col 9, lines 48-67) consumer configured to execute at least one script (300, 302, fig 3, col 18, lines 65-67);

a log file consumer configured to record information in a log file (300, 302, fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63 and col 11, lines 20-40);

an event log consumer configured to log at least one message to an

Art Unit: 2154

event log (300, 302, fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63 and col 11, lines 20-40); and

a command line (notification action is done via scripts and scripts includes command line arguments, col 10, lines 47-52) consumer configured to launch at least one process (i.e. col 49, lines 20-25, col 53, lines 53-57);

an instance of an individual event consumers being operable to perform a first action responsive to a first event generated at a first individual event provider (trouble ticket, col 10, lines 36-56) and to perform a second action responsive to a second event generated at a second different individual event provider (alphanumeric page, col 10, lines 36-56).

18. As per claim 43, Groath discloses the event consumer includes an instance of a class (col 4, lines 12-22) associated with an application program (col 116, lines 56-67).

19. As per claim 44, Groath discloses the event filter (col 12, lines 33-67) includes an instance (col 116, lines 56-67) of a class associated with an application program (col 4, lines 12-22).

20. As per claim 46, Groath discloses a system comprising:

a plurality of sources configured to generate events in a computing

Art Unit: 2154

environment (col 10, lines 2-8; col 31, lines 15-23); and,

a set of automatically generated standard event consumers operable to perform actions responsive to events (302, fig 3, col 10, lines 25-46) that occur in the computing environment without requiring knowledge about the sources of the events (collector is user to collect event data and routes to event consumers via notifier, col 10, lines 25-46), wherein an instance of an individual event consumer can perform actions responsive to events occurring at more than one of the event providers (alphanumeric page, col 10, lines 25-56).

21. As per claim 47, Groath discloses wherein the set of automatically generated standard event consumers is categorized by actions to be performed by individual event consumers and wherein an individual event consumer can be bound to multiple events to which the action is to be performed (figs. 3 and 5, col 10, lines 25-46) .

22. As per claim 48, Groath discloses wherein the set of automatically generated standard event consumers is generated by a centralized mechanism of the system and not by the plurality of sources (collector, col 10, lines 25-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

24. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groath et al. (6,571,285) (hereinafter Groath) in view of Murray (Windows NT Event Logging by James D. Murray published on September 1998) (hereinafter "NT event logging services").

25. As per claim 3, while Groath discloses an event manager that is installed on NT operating system (col 83, 48-56), Groath is silent about the event log consumer is an NT event log consumer. However, an NT event log consumer is well known in the art and it is a design preference to use NT event logging or program a customized event consumer. Murray, for example, discloses the event log consumer is an NT event log consumer (Chapter 2, Microsoft API's are available to interface with NT event log service). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention to combine the teaching of Groath and "NT event logging

Art Unit: 2154

services" because "NT event logging services" use of windows NT event logging services API 's would provide Groath system a platform dependent event logging.

26. Claim 42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groath et al. (6,571,285) (hereinafter Groath) in view of Network PC System Design Guidelines (version 1.0 b August 5, 1997) (hereinafter "Network PC Article").

27. As per claim 42, Groath discloses wherein the event providers includes, Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) provider (col 12, line 60), Event manager installed on NT (col 83, 48-56), event log provider (col 11, lines 35-39). Groath is silent about at least one of Win32 provider, Windows Driver Model (WDM) provider, registry provider, performance counter provider, active directory provider, Windows installer provider. However, Win32 provider, Windows Driver Model (WDM) provider, registry provider, performance counter provider, active directory provider, and Windows installer provider are all well known in the art. For example, Network PC Article discloses at least one of Win32 provider (page 6), Windows Driver Model (WDM) provider (page 6), registry provider (page 18), performance counter provider (page 111), active directory provider

(page 111), Windows installer provider (page 130). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine the teaching of Groath and "Network PC Article" because "Network PC Article"'s use of Windows NT component such as Win32 provider, Windows Driver Model (WDM) provider, registry provider, performance counter provider, active directory provider, and Windows installer provider would provide Groath's system reusability of the Windows NT component via well tested API's.

Response to Arguments

28. Applicant's arguments filed 11/02/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, therefore rejections to claims 1-12 and 39, 42-44 is maintained.

29. Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

Art Unit: 2154

30. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Conclusion

31. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

Art Unit: 2154

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A. Siddiqi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/875,775

Art Unit: 2154

Page 14

~~NATHAN J. FLYNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800~~

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAS